

**APPENDIX G**

# **Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA)**

This Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) will enable you to assess the **new, proposed or significantly changed** policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service\*\* for equality and human rights implications.

Undertaking this assessment will help you to identify whether or not this policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service\*\* may have an adverse impact on a particular community or group of people. It will ultimately ensure that as an Authority we do not discriminate and we are able to promote equality, diversity and human rights.

Before completing this form please refer to the EHRIA [guidance](#), for further information about undertaking and completing the assessment. For further advice and guidance, please contact your [Departmental Equalities Group](#) or [equality@leics.gov.uk](mailto:equality@leics.gov.uk)

*\*\*Please note: The term 'policy' will be used throughout this assessment as shorthand for policy, practice, procedure, function or service.*

<b>Key Details</b>	
<b>Name of policy being assessed:</b>	Barwell Library
<b>Department and section:</b>	Communities & Wellbeing
<b>Name of lead officer/ job title and others completing this assessment:</b>	Nigel Thomas Head of Service Chris Housden Equalities Officer Locality Managers
<b>Contact telephone numbers:</b>	0116 3056947
<b>Name of officer/s responsible for implementing this policy:</b>	Nigel Thomas
<b>Date EHRIA assessment started:</b>	10 <sup>th</sup> March 2014
<b>Date EHRIA assessment completed:</b>	October 2014 <a href="#">Section 3 Updated October 2015 and February 2016</a>

# Section 1: Defining the policy

## Section 1: Defining the policy

You should begin this assessment by defining and outlining the scope of this policy. You should consider the impact or likely impact of the policy in relation to all areas of equality, diversity and human rights, as outlined in Leicestershire County Council's Equality Strategy.

### 1 What is new or changed in this policy? *What has changed and why?*

1. Leicestershire County Council's (LCC) Communities and Wellbeing Service incorporates the Council's Libraries, Heritage, Arts, Archives and Adult Learning Services. LCC's Policy is determined by the statutory requirements contained in the Library & Museums Act 1964 (see paragraph 8). The Service is subject to a significant reduction in funding and as a result all related services are subject to review.
2. As a result, a package of proposals has been proposed and consulted on which are designed to meet the Council's Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) target of £800K from the Communities and Wellbeing Services Budget.
3. The proposals as they affect the Library Service in full are:
  - a) 16 major market town and shopping centre libraries funded by the County Council with a 20% reduction in opening hours
  - b) The development of an infrastructure support package which would enable local communities to run their local library in partnership with the County Council.
  - c) An online library service available 24 hours a day, 365 days a year to those with access to the internet
  - d) A mobile library service that will provide a regular library service to most villages without a static library.
4. This EHRIA explores the potential Equality and Human Rights impacts on b) as they specifically affect **Barwell** Library. The proposal outlined assumes that local communities come forward to provide the management of the library service with a support package from LCC. Should a local community not come forward LCC may have to consider a decision to close the library, or consider alternative means of service delivery if the proposed solution is insufficient to satisfy the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED).
5. This approach changes the current method of service delivery which sees LCC fully funding a network of 52 libraries across the County.

6. Responsibility for service delivery currently lies principally with the Senior Management Team of the Communities and Wellbeing section, and then through service operational teams. This arrangement would change in that there would be a partnership formed by LCC with a local community based on the delivery of the library service.
7. The service areas are compliant with Leicestershire County Council's Equality Policy.

**2 Does this relate to any other policy within your department, the Council or with other partner organisations? *If yes, please reference the relevant policy or EHRIA. If unknown, further investigation may be required.***

8. Any decision must bear in mind the statutory provisions of the Library & Museums Act 1964. Under the Act, public library service provision is a statutory duty for local authorities. The requirement is to provide a comprehensive and efficient public library service. To comply with the Act, local authorities must:

- Promote a comprehensive and efficient library service for all persons in the area that want to make use of it (Section 7)
- Promote the service (Section 7(2)(b))
- Lend books and other printed material free of charge for those who live, work or study in the area. (section 8(3)(b))

The Government superintends the work of Councils, and has a duty to:

- Oversee and promote the public library service (Section 1(1))
- Take action where a local authority fails to perform its duties (Section 10)

9. In addition, any decisions must bear in mind the strategic objectives outlined in the Communities and Wellbeing's own service plan, as follows:

- To enhance the quality of life and sense of wellbeing of Leicestershire residents by commissioning or providing a choice of community heritage, learning and cultural resources.
- To contribute to the provision of relevant information and cultural resources
- To combat worklessness by developing skills and training opportunities as an adult learning provider
- To enhance the tourism offer of the County

10. The provision of Information and Advice under the terms of the Care Act (to be enacted in 2015) is likely to place greater responsibilities on local authorities for these services. Libraries provide a natural conduit and local resource for this work.

11. Any changes to Library provision must therefore satisfy the requirements of the Equalities & Human Rights Acts as well as the Public Libraries and Museums Act. As the legislative requirements have a different focus, we will be addressing the Equalities and Human Rights duties in this EHRIA document, and the National libraries legislation in a separate online *Community Assessment*. Some of the information required in the two documents will overlap. For example, transport links are important in understanding how local communities inter-relate, but also help to inform the difficulties that people with disabilities ( particularly mobility related) may have in accessing alternative provisions.

**3 Who are the people / groups (target groups) affected and what is the intended change or outcome for them?**

12. Potentially everyone in the locality who uses the library, or may do so in the future. Educational establishments and individuals looking for volunteering opportunities may particularly be affected.

**2012/13 data on users:**

- The total number of visitors at **Barwell** was 30,125, of which 1,039 were active borrowers, the 11<sup>th</sup> highest figure of the 36 Community libraries. As of 31/12/2013, there were 1,404 live members, broken down in age range as follows:

<u>Age band</u>	<u>Number</u>
0-4	112
5-11	507
12-17	103
18-29	105
30-49	281
50-64	124
65+	172

- The two highest age groups as a percentage of total members are 5-11 (36%) and 30-49 (20%). Just over half of the total is under 18.
- There were 264 attendees at 14 events in the library, and 138 attendees at ICT learning sessions.
- **Barwell** has the 2<sup>nd</sup> highest number of individual PC uses out of the 36 Community Libraries.

13. Key protected groups (see Section 2C) for which the proposals may impact are:

- Younger people
- Older people
- People with disabilities

- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race.
- Religion
- Other groups
- Community Cohesion

14. A different governance arrangement, as is being proposed may have an impact on access to a range of services. For **Barwell** these include

Automatic Doors	Audio/Talking Books	DVDs for Hire
Books for loan	Reference books/Information services	Access to informal learning
Newspapers	Study space/Homework help	Exhibition/Display space
Home Library Service	Local Studies/Family History	Children's area
Bookstart	Parent led story time	Photocopier
Scanner	Printer	Wi-Fi
Internet taster sessions	IT facilities	

15. Barwell library current opening hours are:

Monday	Closed all day	.
Tuesday	10am - 1pm	2.30pm - 7pm
Wednesday	Closed am	2.30pm - 5pm
Thursday	Closed am	2.30pm - 5pm
Friday	Closed all day	
Saturday	10am - 1pm	Closed pm

**Transport links.**

The nearest main library is Hinckley. The distance between the Hinckley and **Barwell** Libraries is 3.02 miles by road. The 158 Arriva service from Barwell Square to Hinckley bus station runs every 30 minutes during current library opening hours (weekdays and Saturday mornings) and the journey takes 12 minutes. This may impact on some protected groups, e.g. young people, old people, people with disabilities.

Will this policy meet the Equality Act 2010 requirements to have due regard to the need to meet any of the following aspects? **(Please tick and explain how)**

	Yes	No	How?
Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation		X	
Advance equality of opportunity	X		The Library seeks to provide free reading and audio material to groups who may not otherwise

	between different groups			have access, such as children from low income families. Library services are also made available to people with restricted mobility, via the Home Library Service.
	Foster good relations between different groups	X		The library is open to everyone and helps to attract people from different backgrounds to the wider opportunities offered within the Community Centre.

## Section 2: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) Screening

### Section 2: Equality and Human Rights Assessment Screening

The purpose of this section of the assessment is to help you decide if a full EHRIA is required.

If you have already identified that a full EHRIA is needed for this policy/ practice/ procedure/ function/ service, either via service planning processes or other means, then please go straight to [Section 3](#) on Page 7 of this document.

### Section 2

#### A: Research and Consultation

		Yes	No*
5.	Have the target groups been consulted about the following?		X
	a) their current needs and aspirations and what is important to them;		X
	b) any potential impact of this change on them (positive and negative, intended and unintended);		X
	c) potential barriers they may face		X
6.	If the target groups have not been consulted directly have representatives been consulted or research explored (e.g. Equality Mapping)?		X
7.	Have other stakeholder groups/ secondary groups (e.g. carers of service users) been explored in term of potential unintended impacts?		X
8.	*If you answered 'no' to the questions above and feel that consultation is not necessary, please use the space below to explain why.		
	Consultation will be an ongoing essential part of assessing local need, what the library does to meet this, and how it might be met in the future. This will take account of the needs of different groups and of access and deprivation issues. The opinions of local people are essential in collecting this data. Consultation with all stakeholders will take place when proposals for the future of the library have been established in the context of the County-wide service.		

**Section 2**

**B: Monitoring Impact**

<b>8.</b>	Are there systems set up to:	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
	a) monitor impact (positive and negative, intended and unintended) for different groups;	<b>X</b>	
	b) enable open feedback and suggestions from different communities	<b>X</b>	

**Note: If no to Question 8 you will need to ensure that monitoring systems are established to check for impact on the protected characteristics.**

**Section 2**

**C: Potential Impact**


<b>9.</b>	Use the table below to specify if any individuals or community groups who identify with any of the ' <a href="#">protected characteristics</a> ' may <u>potentially</u> be affected by this policy and describe any positive and negative impacts, including any barriers.			
		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Comments</b>
	<b>Age</b>	<b>X</b>		Data for usage by age group is reflected above. It is evident that younger people may be disadvantaged if some of the services were discontinued, such as Bookstart, Summer Reading Challenge, the children's area and children's audio books, and these could not be provided elsewhere.
	<b>Disability</b>	<b>X</b>		If the Home Library Service was discontinued, this may disadvantage people with poor mobility and unable to reach the library unaided. Travelling distances between libraries in a reduced network may reduce access for people with physical mobility difficulties or other problems with travel as a result of mental health conditions or learning difficulties. The public transport links for Barwell to the nearest hub library (Hinckley) are outlined in section 1 (3) above.
	<b>Gender Reassignment</b>		<b>X</b>	No disadvantage identified.
	<b>Marriage and Civil Partnership</b>		<b>X</b>	No disadvantage identified
	<b>Pregnancy and Maternity</b>	<b>X</b>		The library can act as an information point for women in pregnancy and

				during maternity. Similar information may be provided elsewhere. Travelling may be difficult for women in advanced pregnancy or with babies, so the comments regarding travelling (listed under disability above), may equally apply to this group.
	<b>Race</b>	<b>X</b>		In common with other libraries within the network, Barwell is committed to providing a culturally and racially appropriate range of reading and audio material. This must be retained in any revised provision.
	<b>Religion or Belief</b>	<b>X</b>		As above.
	<b>Sex</b>		<b>X</b>	Nothing identified.
	<b>Sexual Orientation</b>	<b>X</b>		See Race (above).
	<b>Other groups e.g. rural isolation, deprivation, health inequality, carers, asylum seeker and refugee communities, looked after children, deprived or disadvantaged communities</b>	<b>X</b>		There are known areas of local deprivation, and Barwell would fit some measures as a disadvantaged community. There is a local travelling community. There is a relatively high level of unemployment and low skill levels. High levels of substance abuse. Any difficulties specific to Barwell identified from local knowledge or through consultation may inform the EHRIA but may be equally relevant to the Community Assessment to be prepared for Barwell.
	<b>Community Cohesion</b>	<b>X</b>		Many of the services provided (e.g. support for reading groups, promoting community use of the library and the wider George Ward Centre provision) contribute towards the promotion of community cohesion. Barwell Junior School won the Summer Reading Challenge in 2011.
<b>10.</b>	<p>Are the human rights of individuals <u>potentially</u> affected by this proposal? Could there be an impact on human rights for any of the protected characteristics? <b>(Please tick)</b></p> <p>Explain why you consider that any particular <a href="#">article in the Human Rights Act</a> may apply to your policy/ practice/ function or procedure and how the human rights of individuals are likely to be affected below: [NB. Include positive and negative impacts as well as barriers in benefiting from the above proposal]</p>			



	Yes	No	Comments
<b>Part 1: The Convention- Rights and Freedoms</b>			
<b>Article 2: Right to life</b>		X	
<b>Article 3: Right not to be tortured or treated in an inhuman or degrading way</b>		X	
<b>Article 4: Right not to be subjected to slavery/ forced labour</b>		X	
<b>Article 5: Right to liberty and security</b>		X	
<b>Article 6: Right to a fair trial</b>		X	
<b>Article 7: No punishment without law</b>		X	
<b>Article 8: Right to respect for private and family life</b>	X		The library may make a contribution to family life through the free provision of books for loan.
<b>Article 9: Right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion</b>	X		Libraries are a vital source of information, particularly for marginalised groups (e.g. from minority cultures) who may not have this readily available from other sources (e.g. local retail outlets). In this sense, the service promotes the rights contained in Article 9.
<b>Article 10: Right to freedom of expression</b>	X		As for Article 9.
<b>Article 11: Right to freedom of assembly and association</b>		X	
<b>Article 12: Right to marry</b>		X	
<b>Article 14: Right not to be discriminated against</b>	X		The shortfalls that may occur, as identified for Articles 8 & 9, are more likely to discriminate against certain groups, e.g. BME or people from minority faiths.
<b>Part 2: The First Protocol</b>			
<b>Article 1: Protection of property/ peaceful enjoyment</b>		X	
<b>Article 2: Right to education</b>	X		The educational functions of libraries are a strong aspect of their provision, and added value comes from the Summer Reading Challenge, Bookstart, +and the study / homework support. It is recognised that this is not part of mainstream statutory education provision, but an important enhancement.

	<b>Article 3: Right to free elections</b>		<b>X</b>	
<b>Section 2</b>				
<b>D: Decision</b>				
<b>11.</b>	Is there evidence or any other reason to suggest that:	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Unknown</b>
	a) this policy could have a different effect or adverse impact on any section of the community;	<b>X</b>		
	b) any section of the community may face barriers in benefiting from the proposal	<b>X</b>		
<b>12.</b>	Based on the answers to the questions above, what is the likely impact of this policy?  This is to be assessed in a full EHRIA.			
	No Impact <input type="checkbox"/>	Positive Impact <input type="checkbox"/>	Neutral Impact <input type="checkbox"/>	Negative Impact or Impact Unknown <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Note: If the decision is 'Negative Impact' or 'Impact Not Known' an EHRIA Report is required.</b>				
<b>13.</b>	Is an EHRIA report required?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>	



# .Section 3: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) Report

## Section 3: Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment Report

This part of the assessment will help you to think thoroughly about the impact of this policy and to critically examine whether it is likely to have a positive or negative impact on different groups within our diverse community. It is also to identify any barriers that may detrimentally affect under-represented communities or groups, who may be disadvantaged by the way in which we carry out our business.

Using the information gathered either within the EHRIA Screening or independently of this process, this EHRIA Report should be used to consider the impact or likely impact of the policy in relation to all areas of equality, diversity and human rights as outlined in Leicestershire County Council's Equality Strategy.

### Section 3

#### A: Research and Consultation

When considering the target groups it is important to think about whether new data needs to be collected or whether there is any existing research that can be utilised.

**15.** Based on the gaps identified either in the EHRIA Screening or independently of this process, how have you now explored the following and what does this information/data tell you about each of the diverse groups?

- a) current needs and aspirations and what is important to individuals and community groups (including human rights);
- b) likely impacts (positive and negative, intended and unintended) to individuals and community groups (including human rights);
- c) likely barriers that individuals and community groups may face (including human rights)

1. From April to July 2014 the County Council undertook a County wide public consultation exercise about a range of proposals for libraries which if implemented, would deliver the savings outlined in the MTFs. In total the savings for Communities and Wellbeing totalled £800,000. The consultation contained the following elements:

- Online and hard copy survey
- Stakeholder survey
- Local public consultation meetings
- 4 focus groups were held with two key protected groups: younger and older people. The work of the groups centred around the total proposals outlined in section 1 (1) para 3

- 4 community partnership workshops to enable interested people and groups to further explore the detailed proposals with regard to partnership libraries
2. The full report on the outcomes of the consultation can be viewed in the cabinet report of 19<sup>th</sup> September 2014 via the following link  
<http://politics.leics.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=135&MId=4190&Ver=4>

### **October 2015**

*Subsequent relevant cabinet reports detail the proposed infrastructure model for emerging community groups, (19<sup>th</sup> November 2014), assessment of outline business plans (11<sup>th</sup> May 2015) and the outcomes of a second period of registration of interest and assessment of outline business plans (7<sup>th</sup> October 2015.)*

*The cabinet report in October 2015 also requested officers to commence the development of proposals for alternative library service provision should no registration of interest or outline business case be submitted or comply with the support package offered by the County Council. The assessment of the impact of a number of options against the protected groups has been completed and follows the improvement plan at the end of this report.*

*Around the time of the October 2015 cabinet report, the local Barwell Group withdrew their outline business plan. Due to the timing of the withdrawal, it was not possible to reflect this in the cabinet report.*

### **January 2016**

*A recommendation was therefore made to progress with a three month period of consultation in order to seek views on the potential closure of the library and to replace service delivery through use of the Mobile Library service in the area. This consultation took place from 19<sup>th</sup> October 2015 to 17<sup>th</sup> January 2016. The report on the outcome of the Barwell consultation can be found in Appendix A of the Cabinet report of 1<sup>st</sup> March 2016.*

### **Demographic Analysis**

3. The questionnaire in the 2014 consultation included a range of demographic questions. As well as allowing for the profile of respondents to be understood it also made it possible to understand the views of different groups. Each of the rating questions within the survey had been cross tabulated by a range of respondent groups. The full list can be seen on page 9 of Appendix B of the September 2014 cabinet report. Whilst the assessment has considered all 9 protected groups, the following groups have been subject to particular focus as it is reasonable to suggest that these groups might be disproportionately affected by the proposals :
- Gender
  - Age
  - Children aged 0-10

- Children aged 11-17
  - Long term illness or disability
  - Ethnicity
  - Religion.
4. Breaking down the survey response to each individual library does not give sufficient data to enable all considerations to be informed. However it is reasonable to assume that broad indicative responses from the survey overall can be used allied with local knowledge at each individual site and the responses noted from the individual community public meetings.
5. A demographic sub-group analysis was undertaken as part of the consultation. This can be found as Appendix J of the September 2014 cabinet report. This report analyses the demographic sub-groups within the context of the questions asked as part of the survey. From this analysis the following groups can be summarised as being potentially most affected when considering the implementation of the proposals:
- Both genders
  - People of 75 years or older
  - Retired people
  - People with children
  - People with a disability
  - Children and young people

#### Use and Value

6. From the analysis of the 2014 consultation overall, it is fair to conclude for all libraries that the majority of users come to the library to borrow a book or hire a CD and that this is no different for this library. [This finding was replicated in the October-January 2014-15 consultation.](#)
7. The following library services were valued a great deal from the general survey :
- Free Books for loan including e-books service (98%)
  - 24/7 online renewals and reservations (75%)
  - Events and activities for all ages (73%)
  - Educational resources and support for children and young people (72%)
  - Informal learning (71%)
8. The following services were thought most important from the general survey:
- Free books including the e-lending service (95%)
  - Public Computers and public Wi-Fi (47%)
  - Educational Resources for Children and young people (34%)
  - Face to face advice (34%)
  - 24/7 online renewals and reservations (27%)
  - Events and activities for all ages (27%)

9. In addition, Barwell Parish Council completed the stakeholder survey which broadly identified the same service areas:

- Free Books for Loan
- Public computers and Wi-Fi
- Events and Activities for all ages
- Local Studies
- Educational resources and support for children and young people

10. It is important to identify what is felt to be “valued a great deal” and “most important” as consideration will need to be given to governance arrangements as part of any new partnerships that might be established following these proposals. If a local group is established to manage a library, it will be important for them to understand these views.

### October 2015

*A range of options for delivering alternative library service provision have been examined and these are attached at the end of this report. It is proposed that the most viable and flexible method of providing alternative access to the service and to minimise the impact on protected groups is through the provision of a mobile library service and that this should form the focus of the recommended consultation in October 2015.*

### Responses to proposals

11. The detailed analysis of the responses to the 2014 consultation questions associated with the proposals for community partnership libraries can be found in Chapter 4 of Appendix B of the September 2014 Cabinet Report, and Chapter 4 of Appendix C of the September 2014 Cabinet report.

12. The community libraries affected by these proposals are: Anstey, Barrow upon Soar, Barwell, Bottesford, Braunstone Town, Burbage, Castle Donington, Cosby, Countersthorpe, Desford, East Goscote, Enderby, Fleckney, Glenhills, Great Glen, Groby, Hathern, Ibstock, Kegworth, Kibworth, Kirby Muxloe, Leicester Forest East, Market Bosworth, Markfield, Measham, Mountsorrel, Narborough, Newbold Verdon, Quorn, Ratby, Rothley, Sapcote, Sileby, South Wigston, Stoney Stanton, and Thurmaston.

13. In broad terms, the consultation proposed that the County Council would offer a support package (including a contribution towards the infrastructure costs for an initial period of up to 7 years) if a local community organised itself to provide the staffing resource, presumed to be through volunteers.

14. Across all library responses, individuals who were more likely to agree with the proposals included those who had retired, those whose first choice library would be fully funded by the County Council, and those who were interested in running

one of the 36 community libraries.

15. Individuals who were more likely to disagree with the proposals included those aged 35-54 years, those of other religion, those living in a town fringe suburb of the County, regular computer users, those who stated that they would not use an alternative library and those who were not interested in helping run one of the 36 community libraries.

16. Those respondents who were more likely to be interested a great deal or a fair amount in getting involved in running a community partnership library included; females, those aged under 35, those aged 55-74, those with no religion, and those using one of the 36 community libraries as their current library.

### **January 2016**

*The consultation that took place between October 2015 and January -2016 proposed the use of the mobile library service as an alternative form of library provision. It sought opinion around a range of sessions and stops for the service.*

*The proposals suggested were for six hours of mobile services on a weekly basis over one full day session or two half day sessions on different days of the week at one or several locations.*

*There were 33 responses to the survey questionnaire with 18 people attending a public meeting*

*Whilst 78% of respondents to the Barwell questionnaire either strongly disagreed or tended to disagree with the council's proposals for mobile library service provision if Barwell were to close, most respondents felt that 2 half day sessions on different days of the week in 2 or more locations were preferable.*

### **Impact if no community partnership came forward**

#### **October 2015/January 2016**

*Following the Cabinet report of October 2015 the local group that had put forward an Outline business Plan to manage Barwell library withdrew their plan on the grounds of long term financial viability.*

#### **January 2016**

*Respondents to the 2015-16 consultation survey were asked if Barwell Library were to close how easy or difficult would it be for them to access library services. (i.e. a mobile library)*

*From 30 responses to this question 14 respondents indicated that they would find it very or fairly difficult to access services. Comments on the reasons for this covered issues such as where the service would be located and the timing of the mobile library stops. It is reasonable to bear these responses in mind when determining mitigating actions for people with disabilities, younger and older people. Similar themes were outlined when*

*asked what might help people access alternative library services.*

17. For respondents who said that the library they used most often was a community library, a question was asked in the September 2014 survey to ascertain how easy or difficult it would be for them to access alternative library services
18. The September 2014 consultation asked people which alternative libraries they would use if Barwell library was unavailable. Earl Shilton and Hinckley libraries were the most frequently cited, with other venues being Burbage, Newbold Verdon and the Mobile library. (Chart 10 pg. 23 of appendix B: main Consultation Survey 2014 results). This was echoed in the public consultation over alternative library provision through the mobile library.
19. Individuals who were more likely to find access to alternative library services either *very or fairly easily* included: males, those aged 55-74, those with no children, those with two or more cars, those who were retired, those living in urban areas of the County and those who were interested in helping to run a community library.
20. Individuals who were more likely to find access to alternative library services either *very or fairly difficult* included those aged 75 years and older, those with children, those with an illness or disability, those living in town fringe areas of the County, those who stated that they would not use an alternative library and those who were not interested in running a community library.
21. Common themes made in free text comments included reference to the possible barriers faced by communities, particularly if no community partnership was able to be established and a decision to close was a possibility
  - **Increased distance to a nearest library**, associated with cost and time, not being able to walk to the local library, and general inconvenience
  - **Transport** : Specifically dealing with transport issues such as lack of access to a car, impractical or no suitable bus service

The nearest main library to the Barwell library is Hinckley. The distance between the Hinckley and Barwell Libraries is 3.02 miles by road. The 158 Arriva service from Barwell Square to Hinckley bus station runs every 30 minutes during current library opening hours (weekdays and Saturday mornings) and the journey takes 12 minutes. This is a good service but respondents were concerned that Barwell residents would not be able to afford the rising cost of fares.

### **October 2015 January 2016**

*A transport assessment report for Barwell has been undertaken. This noted that two County council funded libraries are accessible from Barwell. The closest is Earl Shilton with a journey time of 7 minutes requiring a five minute bus journey and a two minute walk. The approximate cost of the journey is estimated at £4.20 adult return and £2.10 Child return. (if no concession/season ticket discount applies)*



*The second most accessible library is Hinckley with a journey time of 12 minutes requiring a 11 minute bus ride and 1 minute walk. The approximate cost of the journey is estimated at £4.80 adult return and £2.10 child return. (if no concession/season ticket discount applies)*

*It is reasonable to assume that this data has an impact on the protected groups associated with younger people and may impact on older people and people with a disability. Note should be made that there is an English national concessionary travel scheme for disabled people who get free transport and an age related scheme for older people.*

- **Access of services associated with disabilities/old age.** Respondents were concerned with disabilities and mobility issues often associated with old age and the ability to access library services independently. In addition, this category also included those respondents who expressed concern for these groups or were worried how they would be able to access libraries in the future if they were to develop a disability or mobility issue.
- **Access of services associated with Children/young people.** Similar to the issues associated with disabilities/old age, particularly for children being able to access the library independently.

22. Should a community partnership library be established, then it is anticipated that the core lending service would be maintained, and the impact of these issues would be mitigated. These become more critical factors should a situation arise where there is no willingness within a community to locally manage the library or a viable partnership library cannot be established, and alternative options which may include closure may have to be considered.

23. An Online interactive dashboard has been produced and is available through the following link;

[https://public.tableausoftware.com/views/LibrariesDashboardFINAL/Page1?:embed=y&:display\\_count=no:showVizHome=no#](https://public.tableausoftware.com/views/LibrariesDashboardFINAL/Page1?:embed=y&:display_count=no:showVizHome=no#)

This collates a community profile of each area and should be used as supplementary information in informing any decisions about the future of each local library should a community partnership not be established.

### **October 2015/January 2016**

*Additional profiling has been undertaken to supplement this (see section 16.) This details the location of protected groups in the following categories:*

- *Ages 0-4, 5-11, 12-17, 18-29, 30-49, 50-64, 65+.*
- *Non-White Ethnic groups*
- *People where day to day activities are limited and therefore mobility/disability can be assumed.*

*This profiling will need to be considered when making decisions on the location of any alternative library provision following the October consultation.*

*The majority of the wider profiling work may not directly impact on the protected groups but is viewed to be good practice to inform future decisions.*

### Barwell Public Meeting

24. Appendix D of the September 2014 Cabinet report details the reports from each of the public meetings held across the County. The Barwell meeting took place at the George Ward Centre on 10<sup>th</sup> June 2014. The meeting was attended by 54 people

25. A summary of the most significant issues associated with this EHRIA are:

- A concern that the Council should take account of the increase in population of any Sustainable Urban Extensions in the area.
- A concern about the local capacity available to recruit and sustain volunteers in order to form a community partnership library. Although difficult to quantify, a figure of between 30-50 volunteers may be considered enough to provide a core volunteer resource and a pool of volunteers to cater for illness etc.
- The impact on children and young people's education if the current library could not be sustained.

26. 16 exit questionnaires were returned after the meeting. 44% of respondents tended to agree or agreed strongly that the proposals provided a reasonable way forward given the resources available. 50% tended to disagree or disagree strongly. With regard to the proposals for the community partnership libraries, 31% tended to support or strongly support the proposals with 50% tending to oppose or strongly oppose. 26% said that they would probably consider getting involved, or would like to get involved in some way in running the local library.

### January 2016

*A public meeting was held as part of the second public consultation on alternative library provision through the mobile library service. This was held at Barwell Village Hall on 7<sup>th</sup> December 2015. 18 participants took part.*

*A summary of the most significant issues associated with the protected groups are:*

- *That the proposed mobile library service was not considered adequate for school children particularly as it presented a barrier to the accessing local IT facilities in the village.*
- *That the mobile library would present barriers for older people and those with mobility problems.*

### Perceptions of School age and Older Library users

27. As part of the 2014 consultation, the Council commissioned independent qualitative research with school age and older library users about the proposals. These two protected groups form significant demographic groups who use all libraries, and the research outcome can be used to inform decision making

regarding libraries generally. The details of the report can be viewed in Appendix F of the September 2014 Cabinet Report.

28. A summary of the main points are :

- Participants felt that libraries had a broad social and economic role which impacted on educational opportunities for young people and adults, and benefitted the health and wellbeing of residents with implications at key stages of their lives.
- Accessibility to services was important for school age and older library users-both in terms of proximity and the ease of getting to a venue. School age children wanted to be able to get to a library under their own steam.
- The most important aspect of library services for both groups is access to books for borrowing.
- Knowledgeable staff was seen as important
- The social aspect of the library, for sharing common interests and meeting friends and acquaintances was seen as important for older children and older library users
- Library activities in terms of groups and classes were seen as important to both groups.

29. The impact of the proposed changes initially resulted in caution from both groups, mainly associated with the points highlighted in paragraph 28. Once more information was explored about the nature of the proposals against the financial challenges, both groups perceived some value in a library managed by a local community, dependent upon the support package that is made available.

30. Following further discussion it became clear that the existence of a 'library function' was seen as most important rather than the management arrangements for that function. This is important to consider should no community partnership come forward to run the library and should inform future decisions.

<b>16.</b>	Is any further research, data collection or evidence required to fill any gaps in your understanding of the potential or known affects of the policy on target groups?
------------	--

**October 2015/January 2016**

*Data on users that is outlined in Section 1 (3) has been updated as follows using the latest data available:*

*The total number of visitors at Barwell library in 2014-15 was 24,900, 784 of which were active borrowers in 2013-14. (n.b change of library management system has meant that the active borrower number is the most recent available.)*

<i>Age Band</i>	<i>Number of Library Members</i>
<i>0-4</i>	<i>73</i>
<i>5-17</i>	<i>705</i>
<i>18-49</i>	<i>473</i>

50-64	151
65+	235

*The two highest age groups remain unchanged*

*In 2014-15 there were 1,226 attendees at 47 events at the library.*

*In 2014-15 Barwell had 1,891 PC uses*

*Additional profiling has been undertaken and this is attached as Appendix H of the March 2016 cabinet report*

*The profiling data relates to protected groups in the following area:*

- *Age profiles and ethnicity groups in Barwell in relation to the library*
- *Numbers of people in Barwell where day to day activities are limited*

31. The 2014 survey and consultation results together with the data already held on Library use and locality data reflecting deprivation was expected to be sufficient to enable us to understand the Equality and Human Rights impacts on Communities and protected groups. However, the Stakeholder responses have raised further issues that need to be explored, i.e.:

- a. The proposal to retain core libraries in urban sites was questioned on the grounds that towns have more alternative provisions than rural settings. An alternative would be nominating rural sites as core provision instead. Although this is a valid point, it does not present as an issue for the EHRIA as being a rural dweller is not one of the protected characteristic groups.
- b. Participants in the survey requested more information on training of volunteers.
- c. More information was requested on funding arrangements, employment status, and running costs. A number of participants felt that their commitment to involvement in the future running of services could only be made with comprehensive data available.

32. Work is in progress to provide more detail in these areas and for the findings to be reported back to cabinet in November 2014.

33. In addition, a Scrutiny Review Panel is scheduled for October 2014 to review the information outlined in c. above.

### **October 2015**

*Additional information on 31 b. and c. above was circulated to local communities as part of an information pack available to community groups.*

*The Cabinet Report of October 2015 recommended a three month period of consultation that focuses around potential closure of the library and alternative service provision using the mobile library service.*

Resource materials that have been considered so far include:

Leicestershire County Council: Report to cabinet 19<sup>th</sup> September 2014. “Outcome of consultation on proposals for changes in the delivery of library services”, Includes:

- Appendix B: main consultation survey reports
- Appendix C: stakeholder consultation survey results
- Appendix D: reports from consultation events
- Appendix E: community partnership workshops
- Appendix F: report on qualitative results
- Appendix J: Demographic sub group analysis.

Sustaining Cultural Services (produced by Sue Charteris for Leicestershire County Council September 2013)

Optimising library location for six target groups across Leicestershire ( LCC Research & Insight 2013)

Creating a Comprehensive Library Service – getting the Equality Duty right (Sue Charteris February 2014)

Leicestershire County Council; Corporate Information Service data on services provided at all 52 library locations.

Leicestershire County Council; Research & Insight data on library usage, membership and issue numbers 2013/14.

2011 Census results for Leicestershire.

Leicestershire County Council; public transport data.

Arts Council England; Community Libraries publications:

- Learning from Experience – guiding principles for local authorities
- Learning from Experience - summary briefing for local authorities
- Community Libraries – 10 case studies

**October 2015**

*Leicestershire County Council data on local transport. 2015.*

*Leicestershire County Council Additional profiling data*

When considering who is affected by this proposed policy, it is important to think about consulting with and involving a range of service users, staff or other stakeholders who may be affected as part of the proposal.

<b>17.</b>	Based on the gaps identified either in the EHRIA Screening or independently of this process, <u>how</u> have you further consulted with those affected on the likely impact and <u>what</u> does this consultation tell you about each of the diverse groups?
------------	---

	<p>34. The potential disadvantages to protected groups identified in Section 2 (part 9) have largely been confirmed by the consultation surveys, community meetings and focus group activity. The resulting observations are carried over into part 19 (below) and will be addressed with any necessary mitigation in the Equalities Improvement Plan.</p>
<p><b>18.</b></p>	<p>Is any further consultation required to fill any gaps in your understanding of the potential or known effects of the policy on target groups?</p>
	<p>35. Depending on the outcome of further work suggested by the questions arising from the survey and consultation (outlined in 16 above), further consultation may be necessary.</p> <p><b><u>October 2015</u></b></p> <p><i>The Cabinet Report of October 2015 recommended a three month period of consultation around potential closure of the library and a replacement service provision based on use of the mobile library service</i></p> <p><i>The consultation that took place between October 2015 and -January 2016 proposed the use of the mobile library service as an alternative form of library provision. It sought opinion around a range of sessions and stops for the service.</i></p> <p><b><u>January 2016</u></b></p> <p><i>Respondents to the consultation survey were asked if Barwell Library were to close how easy or difficult would it be for respondents to access library services.(i.e. a mobile library)</i></p> <p><i>From 30 responses to this question, 14 respondents indicated that they would find it very or fairly difficult to access services. Comments on the reasons for this covered issues such as where the service would be located and the timing of the mobile library stops. It is reasonable to bear these responses in mind when determining mitigating actions for people with disabilities, younger and older people. Similar themes were outlined when asked what might help people access alternative library services.</i></p>

19.	Based on any evidence and findings, use the table below to specify if any individuals or community groups who identify with any 'protected characteristics' are <u>likely</u> be affected by this policy. Describe any positive and negative impacts, including what barriers these individuals or groups may face.	
		<b>Comments</b>
	<b>Age</b>	<p>In Barwell 46% of respondents to the 2014 survey were aged 25-54 54% of respondents were aged 55-85.</p> <p>The observations made in part 2 above have held through consultation. These mostly relate to services for children and young people, supporting both their educational development and their parent's efforts to ensure good educational opportunities outside of School and term times.</p> <p>It is also of note that a significant number of responses to the Consultation came from older age groups, reflecting a relatively high level of engagement and concern with the future of Library services from this age group.</p> <p>Over half (56%) of respondents to the 2015-16 survey on alternative library provision were in the 55-74 age group.</p>
	<b>Disability</b>	<p>In Barwell 9% of respondents to the 2014 survey identified themselves as having a long term illness or disability</p> <p>As above, the factors of concern with this group will need to be addressed in the revised service. The principal one being access to Library services in the event of library closure or a reduction in local provision. Consideration of transport links, the pattern of the future network, and decisions regarding the Home and Mobile library networks are all therefore material considerations for this EHRIA.</p> <p>22% of the respondents to the 2015-16 survey on alternative library provision identified themselves as having a long standing illness, disability or infirmity.</p>
	<b>Gender Reassignment</b>	<p>In Barwell no respondent to the 2014 survey identified themselves as having a gender reassignment</p> <p>Nothing was identified in the Consultation. This was echoed in the 2015-16 survey on alternative library provision. 100% of respondents held the same gender to that which they were assigned at birth.</p>
	<b>Marriage and Civil Partnership</b>	Nothing was identified in the consultation
	<b>Pregnancy and Maternity</b>	As an information point, libraries may play an important role in relation to this protected group. However, this is an area where alternative reference sources such as doctor's surgeries would be expected to have a role.
	<b>Race</b>	<p>In Barwell 3% of respondents to the 2014 survey identified themselves as being Black or Black British</p> <p>The level of engagement with different ethnic groups was largely in line with demographics. There were no indicators that this protected group would be disproportionately affected by the proposals or that this group faces any particular barriers. 100 % of the</p>

	respondents to the 2015-16 survey on alternative library provision identified themselves as White.
<b>Religion or Belief</b>	<p>In Barwell 24% of respondents to the 2014 survey stated that they had no religion, 73% Christian, and 3% Jewish</p> <p>The level of engagement with different religious groups was largely in line with demographics. There were no counter indicators in the responses. Little evidence was presented that suggested that people with different religions or beliefs were disproportionately affected by the proposals or that this group faces any particular barriers.</p> <p>81% of respondents to the 2015-16 survey on alternative library provision identified themselves as being Christian.</p>
<b>Sex</b>	<p>In Barwell, 68% of respondents to the 2014 survey were Female.</p> <p>Women were disproportionately represented in the overall consultation. Although this is reflected in Adults &amp; Communities services as a whole, in the case of libraries this is likely to reflect the greater role that women have in the provision of child care and the engagement that Libraries offer for young children. This is particularly the case for libraries such as Barwell which are Community centre based.</p> <p>61% of respondents to the 2015-16 survey on alternative library provision were female.</p>
<b>Sexual Orientation</b>	<p>In Barwell 100% of respondents to the survey identified themselves as heterosexual</p> <p>Nothing of note emerged from the consultation and there were no indicators that this protected group would be disproportionately affected by the proposals or that this group faces any particular barriers.</p> <p>8% of respondents to the 2015-16 consultation on alternative library provision identified themselves as lesbian or other.</p>
<b>Other groups e.g. rural isolation, deprivation, health inequality, carers, asylum seeker and refugee communities, looked after children, deprived or disadvantaged communities</b>	<p>See Appendix D in the September 2014 Cabinet report on Consultation events.</p> <p>The consultation event elicited comments which linked the apprehensions around a voluntarily run facility with Barwell's demographics. Although this was not expanded upon, it is taken to mean that Barwell may lack people with the appropriate professional backgrounds or experience in sufficient numbers to make such a venture sustainable. This concern is reflected in the level of disagreement with the proposals for Barwell, at the consultation event given the resources available (50%).</p> <p>The comments made for Age groups above are pertinent across the board for rural isolation and deprivation in Barwell although rurality and deprivation do not confer protected group status.</p>
<b>Community Cohesion</b>	<p>The George Ward centre is an important community resource for Barwell, and any changes to the Library provision will need to take account of any impact on the future of the centre as a whole.</p>



20.	Based on any evidence and findings, use the table below to specify if any particular Articles in the Human Rights Act are <u>likely</u> apply to your policy. Are the human rights of any individuals or community groups affected by this proposal? Is there an impact on human rights for any of the protected characteristics?	
	<b>Comments</b>	
<b>Part 1: The Convention- Rights and Freedoms</b>		
<b>Article 2: Right to life</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 3: Right not to be tortured or treated in an inhuman or degrading way</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 4: Right not to be subjected to slavery/ forced labour</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 5: Right to liberty and security</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 6: Right to a fair trial</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 7: No punishment without law</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 8: Right to respect for private and family life</b>	As referred to in part 2, the library may make a significant contribution to family life through the provision of free books for loan.	
<b>Article 9: Right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion</b>	Libraries are one of the vital sources of information, particularly for marginalised groups (e.g. from minority cultures) who may not have this readily available from other sources (e.g. local retail outlets). In this sense, the service promotes the rights contained in Article 9.	
<b>Article 10: Right to freedom of expression</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 11: Right to freedom of assembly and association</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 12: Right to marry</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 14: Right not to be discriminated against</b>	The shortfalls that may occur, as identified for Articles 8 & 9, are more likely to discriminate against certain groups, e.g. BME or people from minority faiths.	
<b>Part 2: The First Protocol</b>		
<b>Article 1: Protection of property/ peaceful enjoyment</b>	N/A	
<b>Article 2: Right to education</b>	The educational benefits of libraries are a strong aspect of their provision, and added value comes from the Summer Reading Challenge, Bookstart,	

		and the study / homework support. It is recognised that this is not part of mainstream statutory education provision, but an important enhancement.
	<b>Article 3: Right to free elections</b>	N/A
<b>Section 3</b>		
<b>C: Mitigating and Assessing the Impact</b>		
Taking into account the research, data, consultation and information you have reviewed and/or carried out as part of this EHRIA, it is now essential to assess the impact of the policy.		
<b>21.</b>	If you consider there to be actual or potential adverse impact or discrimination, please outline this below. State whether it is justifiable or legitimate and give reasons.	
<p>36. The intention behind the current proposal is that current services will continue, but operated by local communities with a measure of professional support. In order to satisfy the PSED in respect of potential disadvantages outlined above, it will be necessary for the related services to either continue or be realistically available and accessible to service users elsewhere, but not necessarily in the same format. It will be LCC's responsibility either to ensure that the revised provision meets this need, or to identify the alternatives.</p> <p>37. For Barwell, the services that are identified are:</p> <p><u>For children &amp; young people ( principally school age):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Providing free books for loan for leisure and learning purposes</li> <li>• Children's story tapes/CDs</li> <li>• Delivery of Bookstart scheme</li> <li>• Access to the Summer Reading Challenge</li> </ul> <p><u>For people with disabilities:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Home Library Service for those who cannot leave the home</li> </ul> <p><u>For older people</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provision of free books for loan for leisure and learning purposes.</li> </ul> <p>38. All parties involved in the running of future Library operations (whether Council run or not) will be informed of EHRIA findings and the PSED duties for each location will be clarified. For council run services the duties &amp; responsibilities will be clear, for Community ( i.e. Voluntary) run examples the exact means of discharging responsibilities will need to be established, and may vary between different models.</p> <p>39. Should communities not come forward to manage local libraries, then further assessment through the EHRIA process in tandem with any issues highlighted</p>		

through the interactive community dashboard should be considered in order to inform future decision making.

### **October 2015**

*In order to satisfy the PSED in respect of potential disadvantages outlined above, it will be necessary to consider further how to mitigate the impact of any reduction or change in service in relation to the services users.*

*A Community Group has withdrawn its application to manage the library. The Cabinet Report of October 2015 recommends a three month period of further consultation around alternative service provision based on the provision of the mobile library service and the potential closure of the library.*

### **January 2016**

*Following the Oct-Jan 2015/16 consultation, the following can be added to the items listed in paragraph 37 above:*

- *IT access for children and young people*
- *Consideration as to the timing and location of mobile library provision and reviewing its implementation.*

N.B.

i) If you have identified adverse impact or discrimination that is illegal, you are required to take action to remedy this immediately.

ii) If you have identified adverse impact or discrimination that is justifiable or legitimate, you will need to consider what actions can be taken to mitigate its effect on those groups of people.

**22.** Where there are potential barriers, negative impacts identified and/or barriers or impacts are unknown, please outline how you propose to minimise all negative impact or discrimination.

- a) include any relevant research and consultations findings which highlight the best way in which to minimise negative impact or discrimination
- b) consider what barriers you can remove, whether reasonable adjustments may be necessary, and how any unmet needs that you have identified can be addressed
- c) if you are not addressing any negative impacts (including human rights) or potential barriers identified for a particular group, please explain why

40. The following comments address points raised during the survey and consultation processes.

- Once statutory provision or support is removed, it is unlikely to be reinstated. Therefore, if community volunteers offer to run libraries, some measure of sustainability needs to be established.
- The infrastructure support package needs to be clear in order for communities to take an informed decision as to whether a proposed local partnership arrangement is viable. This would include the training of community group volunteers and/or staffing arrangements, a clear partnership agreement established, and the level of professional support available from the County Council once community partnership libraries have been established.
- Should communities not come forward then other local locations, venues, and the deployment of the mobile library service should be explored in order to ensure access to books for lending, the home library service and supporting children and young people with educational resources in an informal setting.
- E- books and the internet are changing the way that people access books. These mediums may be harnessed to address some of the potential disadvantages identified in this EHRIA.
- Libraries are increasingly being recognised as community hubs which have value for community cohesion and as a means of reducing social and rural isolation. This is particularly the case for Barwell as it is set in a community centre. It is therefore necessary to take account of the future security and viability of the George Ward centre in the context of the decision about the library's future.

### January 2016

*Following the second public consultation on alternative service provision, the following mitigating actions would need to be considered :*

- *Ensuring disability access to any mobile library that may replace the static library*
- *Exploring how access to IT can be provided for children and young people, possibly by maintaining access through the George Ward Centre.*
- *Further reviewing the mobile library stops using information from the consultation, especially in relation to weekend hours and hours that do not conflict with school hours which would present a barrier to children accessing the services.*
- *Exploring how aspects of services might still be provided through the George Ward Centre.*

**Section 3**

**D: Making a decision**

**23.** Summarise your findings and give an overview as to whether the policy will meet Leicestershire County Council’s responsibilities in relation to equality, diversity, community cohesion and human rights.

41. In the event of community libraries either continuing as an LCC provision, or being run voluntarily, the mitigating actions identified below in the Equalities Improvement Plan will be expected to be taken by the responsible body. If similar provision can be identified elsewhere that meets the same need this would be a valid means of meeting the PSED requirement.

**Section 3**

**E: Monitoring, evaluation & review of your policy**

**24.** Are there processes in place to review the findings of this EHRIA and make appropriate changes? In particular, how will you monitor potential barriers and any positive/ negative impact?

42. In the case of a continuing provision with LCC involvement, the actions and targets outlined in the Equality Improvement Plan will be periodically reviewed for effectiveness and possible revision.

43. If a provision is run through commissioning , the Procurement process has its own inbuilt Equality & Human Rights impact mechanism

44. At present, it is not clear how an EHRIA relating to a community run library would be implemented and reviewed. Establishing this would be a factor to consider when arrangements are drawn up, in conjunction with Legal Services.

**25.** How will the recommendations of this assessment be built into wider planning and review processes?

*e.g. policy reviews, annual plans and use of performance management systems*

45. The decision regarding the future of Barwell Library, together with all others in the network, will have an impact on the totality of Communities and Wellbeing’s services, particularly the proposed Core provision. It will be necessary to establish clear lines of support and responsibilities, particularly what can be expected of any professional staff. The inter dependencies with other services that require clarity include the Home and Mobile Library Services.

46. As referred to earlier, if mitigation is to be met through an alternative provision such as the mobile service then that service will require periodic review to assess its effectiveness.

**Section 3:  
F: Equality and human rights improvement plan**

Please list all the equality objectives, actions and targets that result from the Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) (continue on separate sheets as necessary). These now need to be included in the relevant service plan for mainstreaming and performance management purposes.

Equality Objective	Action	Target	Officer Responsible	By when
Protect the interests of disabled people in future library provision	<p><u><b>October 2015</b></u></p> <p><b><i>Implement consultation on options for alternative library delivery based around the mobile library service and potential closure of the library</i></b></p> <p>Take account of accessibility and public transport provision when establishing the future pattern of the Library network.</p> <p>Take any geographical deficits into consideration when designing revised</p>	Maintain and where possible improve the use of all library sites and services by people with disabilities of any kind.	Nigel Thomas	June 2016

	<p>Mobile Library routes and Home Library provision.</p> <p>Ensure that any organisation taking over the running of a Library is aware of the Equality Act and Disability Discrimination provisions.</p>			
Protecting the interests of different age groups	<p><b><u>October 2015</u></b></p> <p><b><i>Implement consultation on options for alternative library delivery based around the mobile library service and potential closure of the library</i></b></p> <p>Ensure, where possible, the continued operation of current services that assist children and parents with educational goals.</p> <p>Where this is not possible, identify alternative sources of similar services or</p>	<p>Maintenance of current support for young people and their parents, particularly those in deprived areas.</p> <p>Maintain engagement with services by people of all age ranges.</p>	Nigel Thomas	June 2016

	encourage their development.			
Protect the interests of minority ethnic groups and all faiths	Ensure that information and materials held in libraries reflect the cultural and faith needs of the locality.	Maintain engagement with services.	Nigel Thomas	June 2016
Preserve and promote community cohesion	Continue support and provision for wider groups through the network of the proposed Council funded libraries. e.g. unemployed, job seekers.		Locality Managers and local officers	Quarterly reviews
Combat the effects of social and rural isolation.	<p><b><u>October 2015</u></b></p> <p><b><i>Implement consultation on options for alternative library delivery based around the mobile library service and potential closure of the library</i></b></p> <p>Take account of geographical location of services including mobile</p>	<p>Prevent the development of unserved areas and inconsistent provision.</p> <p>Consult on mobile library provision</p>	Nigel Thomas	June 2016



	<p>libraries when establishing the pattern of future provision.</p> <p>Maintain and develop E-books and Internet services.</p>			
<p>Ensure sustainability of services to protect all protected groups.</p>	<p><b><u>October 2015</u></b></p> <p><b><i>Implement consultation on options for alternative library delivery based around the mobile library service and potential closure of the library</i></b></p> <p>Thorough assessment of expressions of interest.</p> <p>Provision of training for volunteers</p> <p>Clarity of Infrastructure support arrangements</p> <p>Clarity of continued professional support for community run sites.</p> <p>Establish clear</p>	<p>Ensure that people continue to have access to library services who wish to.</p>	<p>Nigel Thomas Derk van der Wardt</p>	<p>June 2016</p>

	partnership agreements Clarify legal arrangements	Grant funding agreements agreed and currently in use with emerging groups.		Currently in use with emerging groups
--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------

### Community Libraries.

#### Appraisal of options in relation to the identified needs of Protected Groups.

Equality & Human Rights Impact Assessments have been produced for each of the 36 Leicestershire Community Libraries. As of October 2015, there are four sites for which no community group has offered a satisfactory business case. The future options must now be appraised in relation to the EHRIA findings in order to satisfy the PSED. The full EHRIAs can be viewed here:

[http://www.leics.gov.uk/index/your\\_council/equality\\_and\\_diversity/ehria/dept\\_ehria/ac\\_ehria/communitylibraries\\_ehria.htm](http://www.leics.gov.uk/index/your_council/equality_and_diversity/ehria/dept_ehria/ac_ehria/communitylibraries_ehria.htm)

The chart below assesses each option in relation to those findings, listed by Protected Group. The potential closure of the library and provision of a mobile library service is suggested as representing the most viable and flexible option to undertake further consultation on. The outcomes of consultation in Barwell, Braunstone Town, Mountsorrel and Narborough will be used to decide on the mitigation requirements for the individual sites, to ensure that the option chosen is adequate and configured in such a way as to minimise any identified disadvantages to each of the protected groups. The safety net of targeted outreach work exists as a potential add on for any of the options, and has therefore not been appraised separately in this chart.

The current situation regarding Community Libraries was considered at the Adults & Communities Departmental Equalities Group (DEG) on 8<sup>th</sup> September 2015, when EHRIA updates and the template for this appraisal were presented. The DEG did not ask for any amendments.

Option	Age	Disability	Gender Reassignment	Pregnancy & Maternity	Race
<p>1. Community Library Book Collection</p>	<p>Restricted range of material may not reflect needs of varied age groups.</p> <p>Reduced scope for assisting children and parents with their educational goals.</p> <p>However, this option does have the potential for making IT available via Laptops where it is not available elsewhere in the community.</p> <p>The restricted opening hours may disadvantage some groups due to school times/ work patterns.</p>	<p>Accessibility in designated community venues may present a barrier.</p> <p>May have the scope to cover any geographical deficits caused by closure of specific community libraries.</p>	<p>This group not disproportionately affected by proposal.</p>	<p>This group not disproportionately affected by proposal.</p>	<p>Restricted range of material may not reflect cultural needs of ethnic minorities.</p>
<p>2. Trust System</p>	<p>A more limited version of the Book Collection which would not be staffed and would have</p>	<p>Accessibility in designated community venues may present a barrier.</p>	<p>This group not disproportionately affected by proposal</p>	<p>This group not disproportionately affected by proposal</p>	<p>Restricted range of material may not reflect cultural needs of local ethnic minorities.</p>

	<p>a less frequent turnover of titles. No IT offered. The combination of these factors reduces the likelihood of meeting the expectations and requirements of different age groups.</p> <p>This system has the potential to be available for reasonably long opening hours.</p>	<p>May have the scope to cover any geographical deficits caused by closure of specific community libraries.</p> <p>Absence of a paid library assistant reduces the provision of information and advice regarding the service. This would be a disadvantage to some disabled people, particularly those with Learning Difficulties who do not have others to assist them.</p>			<p>Absence of a paid library assistant reduces the provision of information and advice regarding the service. This may disadvantage people for whom English is not a first language, if the service is to rely on written signs and instructions.</p>
3. Lend IT Self Service Lending Machine	<p>As with the Trust System, this provision is not staffed. It therefore has similar drawbacks to those listed above. In addition, it is automated and the operating instructions</p>	<p>Comments as for Age and the reservations listed above.</p>	<p>This group not disproportionately affected by proposal.</p>	<p>This group not disproportionately affected by proposal.</p>	<p>Comments as above.</p>

	<p>would need to be clearly stated and easy to follow.</p> <p>The nature of this provision may give it the scope for longer opening hours, although this could depend on location. If so, this could provide a better fit into school times/work patterns than other options listed here.</p>				
4. Mobile Library Service	<p>A mobile library has the scope to offer a greater range of stock than the alternatives listed in this document, although this is unlikely to be as wide ranging as a static library.</p> <p>It is planned to offer access to IT services.</p> <p>This service will provide</p>	<p>Vehicles will need to have disabled access to meet the needs of people with certain physical disabilities, and the location of stops must take the needs of people with mobility difficulties into account.</p> <p>Staff presence will be of considerable benefit for</p>	<p>This group not disproportionately affected by proposal</p>	<p>This group not disproportionately affected by proposal</p>	<p>The mobile library stocking and request systems should be used to ensure that material reflects the cultural needs of local ethnic minorities</p>

	<p>considerably more location options than all alternatives.</p> <p>Although not fixed, this type of service offers a dedicated venue that people will identify as a library service, and has the potential to offer other services and book requests.</p> <p>These features provide greater scope to meet the needs of different age groups than the other options, although reduced compared to a static library, particularly regarding opening hours.</p>	<p>anyone requiring assistance, information and advice.</p> <p>There is sufficient scope within the service to cover geographical gaps created by possible library closures. The mobile service particularly lends itself to meeting any shortfall in this respect.</p>			
--	---	---	--	--	--



## Section 4: Sign off and scrutiny

Upon completion, the Lead Officer completing this assessment is required to sign the document in the section below.

It is required that this Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment (EHRIA) is scrutinised by your [Departmental Equalities Group](#) and signed off by the Chair of the Group.

Once scrutiny and sign off has taken place, a depersonalised version of this EHRIA should be published on Leicestershire County Council's website. Please send a copy of this form to [louisa.jordan@leics.gov.uk](mailto:louisa.jordan@leics.gov.uk), Members Secretariat, in the Chief Executive's department for publishing.

### Section 4

#### A: Sign Off and Scrutiny

Confirm, as appropriate, which elements of the EHRIA have been completed and are required for sign off and scrutiny.

**Equality and Human Rights Assessment Screening**

**Equality and Human Rights Assessment Report**

1<sup>st</sup> Authorised Signature (EHRIA Lead Officer): .....

Date: .....

2<sup>nd</sup> Authorised Signature (DEG Chair): ...



Date: 17/02/2016.....